

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,356	TOMAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Grosz	3673	

**All Participants:**

(1) Alexander Grosz.

(2) MR BAILBY
**Status of Application:**

(3) MR LAZARUS

(4) \_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 6/1/04

Time: \_\_\_\_

Type of Interview: 2/22/05

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

W/ MR BAILBY - ALEXANDER PATENT  
W/ MR LAZARUS - WOLF PATENT

Claims discussed:

ALL

Prior art documents discussed:

ALEXANDER; WOLF.
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

NOTE ATTACHED EXAM. AMDT. AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE.
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)